Dolf van Soest (former Chief Constable)

Fee/88 3-3-2000

¥13604-10

200 - C

To
Mr. Stig Edqvist, superintendent
Rikspolisstyrelsen, NCID
Box 12256, S-10226 Stockholm
Zweden

Dear mr Edqvist,

Thanks again for our meeting on Tuesday 28/3; I hope it was also in your interest.

I repeat that -in my opinion- not only my right or wrong with relation to my analyses/vision is at issue but also, if I'm right, that the results of our work (Bilgili) could be very welcome for the continuation/progress in the Palme-investigation.

In brief I also want to explain(again) the results of my analysis, after the partial solving of the Bilgili-murder:

1. The murder on the Kurdish lawyer Mahmut Bilgili is a PKK (internal) affair, ordered by the European-top or

- 2. From December '85 till his death (5-2-87) he lived in a small room in Deventer, apparently having quit or being fed up with the PKK-organisation. Only some days before his arrival in Deventer,
- 3. After leaving Deventer(picked-up?) and before he died (deliberately drowned), all on the same day 5-2-87, he stayed in a home in Hengelo, (and only) suspect; he confessed his minor role in the case (transport of the body) and insisted on not knowing further details. He was also and in the Deventer-theatre on 15-3-87.
- 4. By analysing all the files and other (PKK) documents I tried to find an answer on the following questions: Why wants the PKK-organisation get rid of M.Bilgili, who in fact was an important member on management-position as a graduated lawyer? Why did they kill him more than a year after he "left" the organisation, meanwhile the ranks of the PKK.
- 5. On the basis of the PKK-internal jurisdiction I found out that his is not "enough" to kill him; there must have been a very serious motive, even more than f.e. having contact with "hostile" Kurdish groups.

 6. My conclusion is that (some kind of) from the point of view of the PKK-leadership, is a realistic and inevitable motive! The next question of course is what kind of treason M. Bilgili could have committed (with others?)?

The considerations:

a. First of all there were the \underline{paper} -articles, all about the $\underline{Palme-murder}$, which we found in his room in the beginning of our investigation.

20/6-00 88

b. his remarkable stay in the house on the day of the murder. On the basis of the traces overthere and the kind of . injuries on Bilgili's dead body (among others broken fingers!), we are convinced that a questioning/tribunal has taken place! c. the about-turn in Bilgili's course of live in december '85; from being a appreciate member of the PKK to a non (political)active life in a very modest surrounding. Something very serious must have occupied his mind. It's only 2 months before the killing of mr Palme.

d. From his management-position in the PKK, M. Bilgili could be well-informed of all kind of plans/intentions.

e.

7. A quarded conclusion: the of Bilgili (how? when?) might have something to do with the Palme-murder! Further (critical) considerations:

f. the Palme-investigator's have a lot of options; the PKK-option is a "passed station"!? Anyhow, the case is not solved. g. it is a long period (1 year) between the Palme-murder and the Bilgili-murder: the logic is not obvious. But: the period between the arrests, soon followed by the (unexpected?) releases of the PKK-suspects and the Bilgili-murder is only some weeks!

I think that the relief about their release (which did not mean that the PKK is not involved) did not keep them from steps to find out who could have played a role in their arrests (by giving information f.e.).

They -the PKK-top- tracked Mahmut Bilgili down; they organised got confirmation (and perhaps other names) and Bilgili (and others?) became executed!

Final conclusion:

8. Because of the above (and further circumstances/indications) the murder on Bilgili is the consequence of his informationactivities, (indirect?) adressed to the Palme-investigators. I got indications that Bilgili

after the murder itself.

This means that the PKK killed your Prime-minister Olaf Palme as well !!! Perhaps in cooperation with?

Note: the period of Bilgili's about-turn (dec 85/jan 86) is the period of the preparations for the Palme-murder. Perhaps he had already suspicion but wasn't sure enough or couldn't stop them.

So far the result of my study.

When during your visit, wants to make statements about your case, I hope there will be time and opportunity to ask him about Bilgili and the possible connection between both cases. I emphasize that a possible confirmation of the connection is in your interest as well.

Good luck and best wishes,